



# California Regional Water Quality Control Board

## Los Angeles Region

(50 Years Serving Coastal Los Angeles and Ventura Counties)

Winston H. Hickox  
Secretary for  
Environmental  
Protection

320 W. 4th Street, Suite 200, Los Angeles, California 90013  
Phone (213) 576-6600 FAX (213) 576-6640  
Internet Address: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/rwqcb4>



Gray Davis  
Governor

### CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT REQUIREMENTS

The California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Los Angeles Region (hereinafter referred to as the Regional Board) is the Lead Agency for evaluating the environmental impacts of the proposed amendment to the *Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (Basin Plan)*, to incorporate a “TMDL for trash in the Ballona Creek Watershed.” The Secretary of Resources has certified the basin planning process as exempt from certain requirements under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), including preparation of an initial study, a negative declaration and environmental impact report (Title 14, California Code of Regulations, Section 15251). As this proposed amendment to the *Basin Plan* is part of the basin planning process, the amendment is considered ‘functionally equivalent’ to an initial study, negative declaration, and environmental impact report.

Any regulatory program of the Regional Board certified as functionally equivalent, however, must satisfy the documentation requirements of Title 23, California Code of Regulations, Section 3777(a), which requires the following:

- An Environmental Checklist with a Description of the Proposed Activity.
- A Determination with respect to significant environmental impacts.
- A completed environmental checklist, and
- A written report providing:
  - A description of the proposed activity;
  - Reasonable alternatives;
  - Mitigation measures to minimize any significant adverse impacts.

The attached checklist and the “Ballona Creek Trash TMDL” fulfill the requirements specified under Section 3777.

#### I. Description of Proposed Activity

The Water Quality Control Plan for the Los Angeles Region (also known as a Basin Plan) designates beneficial uses of waterbodies, establishes water quality objectives for the protection of these beneficial uses, and outlines a plan of implementation for maintaining and enhancing water quality.

The existing Basin Plan includes water quality objectives for trash. The proposed Basin Plan amendment will implement TMDL trash load allocations for all reaches of the Ballona Creek and its tributaries impaired for trash.

### **California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California’s water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

II Environmental Impacts

**Environmental  
impacts  
YES MAYBE NO**

**1. Earth. Will the proposal result in:**

- a. Unstable earth conditions or in changes in geologic substructures? No
- b. Disruptions, displacements, compaction or overcoming of the soil? No
- c. Change in topography or ground surface relief features? No
- c. The destruction, covering or modification of any unique geologic or physical features? No
- e. Any increase in wind or water erosion of soils, either on or off the site? No
- f. Changes in deposition or erosion of beach sands, or changes in siltation, deposition or erosion which may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of the ocean or any bay, inlet or lake? No
- g. Exposure of people or property to geologic hazards, such as earthquakes, landslides, mudslides, ground failure, or similar hazards? No

**2. Air. Will the proposal result in:**

- a. Substantial air emissions or deterioration of ambient air quality? No
- b. The creation of objectionable odors? No
- c. Alteration of air movement, moisture or temperature, or any change in climate, either locally or regionally? No

**3. Water. Will the proposal result in:**

- a. Changes in currents, or the course of direction or water movements, in either marine or fresh waters? No
- b. Changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff? Maybe
- c. Alterations to the course of flow of flood waters? No

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

	<b>Environmental impacts</b>
	<b>YES MAYBE NO</b>
d. Change in the amount of surface water in any water body?	No
e. Discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity?	Yes
f. Alteration of the direction or rate of flow of ground waters?	No
g. Change in the quantity or quality of ground waters, either through direct additions or withdrawals, or through interception of an aquifer by cuts or excavations?	No
h. Substantial reduction in the amount of water otherwise available for public water supplies?	No
i. Exposure of people or property to water related hazards such as flooding or tidal waves?	No
<b>4. Plant Life. Will the proposal result in:</b>	
a. Change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?	Maybe
b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of plants?	No
c. Introduction of new species of plants into an area, or result in a barrier to the normal replenishment of existing species?	No
d. Reduction in acreage of any agricultural crop?	No
<b>5. Animal Life. Will the proposal result in:</b>	
a. Change in the diversity of species, or numbers of any species of animals (birds; land animals, including reptiles; fish and shellfish, benthic organisms, insects or microfauna)?	Maybe

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

- |                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| b. Reduction of the numbers of any unique, rare or endangered species of animals?                                                                                                          | No                                                  |
|                                                                                                                                                                                            | <b>Environmental impacts</b><br><b>YES MAYBE NO</b> |
| c. Introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?                                                                    | No                                                  |
| d. Deterioration to existing fish or wildlife habitat?                                                                                                                                     | No                                                  |
| <b>6. Noise. Will the proposal result in:</b>                                                                                                                                              |                                                     |
| a. Increases in existing noise levels?                                                                                                                                                     | No                                                  |
| b. Exposure of people to severe noise levels?                                                                                                                                              | No                                                  |
| <b>7. Light and Glare. Will the proposal:</b>                                                                                                                                              |                                                     |
| a. Produce new light and glare?                                                                                                                                                            | No                                                  |
| <b>8. Land Use. Will the proposal result in:</b>                                                                                                                                           |                                                     |
| a. Substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?                                                                                                                   | No                                                  |
| <b>9. Natural Resources. Will the proposal result in:</b>                                                                                                                                  |                                                     |
| a. Increase in the rate of use of any natural resources?                                                                                                                                   | No                                                  |
| b. Substantial depletion of any nonrenewable natural resource?                                                                                                                             | No                                                  |
| <b>10. Risk of Upset. Will the proposal involve:</b>                                                                                                                                       |                                                     |
| a. A risk of an explosion or the release of hazardous substances (including, but not limited to: oil, pesticides, chemicals or radiation) in the event of an accident or upset conditions? | No                                                  |
| b. Possible interference with an emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?                                                                                                  | No                                                  |
| <b>11. Population. Will the proposal:</b>                                                                                                                                                  |                                                     |
| a. Alter the location, distribution, density, or growth rate of the human population of an area?                                                                                           | No                                                  |
| <b>12. Housing. Will the proposal:</b>                                                                                                                                                     |                                                     |
| a. Affect existing housing, or create a demand for additional housing?                                                                                                                     | No                                                  |

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



**13. Transportation/Circulation. Will the proposal result in:**

- |                                                                                       |                                  |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| a. Generation of substantial additional vehicular movement?                           | No                               |
|                                                                                       | <b>Environmental<br/>impacts</b> |
|                                                                                       | <b>YES MAYBE NO</b>              |
| b. Effects on existing parking facilities, or demand for new parking?                 | No                               |
| c. Substantial impact upon existing transportation systems?                           | No                               |
| d. Alterations to present patterns of circulation or movement of people and/or goods? | No                               |
| e. Alterations to waterborne, rail or air traffic?                                    | No                               |
| f. Increase in traffic hazards to motor vehicles, bicyclists or pedestrians?          | No                               |

**14. Public Service. Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:**

- |                                                       |       |
|-------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| a. Fire protection?                                   | No    |
| b. Police protection?                                 | No    |
| c. Schools?                                           | No    |
| d. Parks or other recreational facilities?            | Maybe |
| e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | Maybe |
| f. Other governmental services?                       | Maybe |

**15. Energy. Will the proposal result in:**

- |                                                                                                                         |    |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| a. Use of substantial amounts of fuel or energy?                                                                        | No |
| b. Substantial increase in demand upon existing sources of energy, or require the development of new sources of energy? | No |

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

**16. Utilities and Service Systems. Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to the following utilities:**

a. Power or natural gas?

No

***California Environmental Protection Agency***

***\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\****



Recycled Paper

*Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.*

	<b>Environmental impacts</b>
	<b>YES MAYBE NO</b>
b. Communications systems?	No
c. Water?	No
d. Sewer or septic tanks?	No
e. Storm water drainage?	Maybe
f. Solid waste and disposal?	No
<b>17. Human Health. Will the proposal result in:</b>	
a. Creation of any real or potential health hazard (excluding mental health)?	No
b. Exposure of people to potential health hazards?	No
<b>18. Aesthetic. Will the proposal result in:</b>	
a. The obstruction of any scenic vista or view open to the public?	No
b. The creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	No
<b>19. Recreation. Will the proposal result in:</b>	
a. Impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?	Yes
<b>20. Cultural Resources. Will the proposal:</b>	
a. Result in the alteration of or the destruction of a prehistoric or historic archaeological site?	No
b. Result in adverse physical or aesthetic effects to a prehistoric or historic building, structure, or object?	No
c. Have the potential to cause a physical change which would affect unique ethnic cultural values?	No
d. Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?	No

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.

**Environmental  
impacts  
YES MAYBE NO**

**21. Public Opinion.**

a. Is there, or is there anticipated to be, a substantial body of opinion that the proposed project may have an adverse effect on environment? No

**22. Authorization by Other Public Agencies.**

a. Will this project require certification, authorization, or issuance of a permit by any other local, state or federal agency? (A "YES" or "MAYBE" answer will require consultation with the appropriate agency.) No

**23. City Plans and Goals, etc.**

a. Is the project incompatible with existing zoning, plans and goals that have been adopted by the City for the area in which the project is located? No

**Mandatory Findings of Significance.**

**Potential to degrade:** Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? No

**Short-term:** Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time, while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.) No

**Cumulative:** Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? (A project may impact on two or more separate resources where the impact on each resource is relatively small, but where the effect of the total of those impacts on the environment is significant.) No

**Substantial adverse:** Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? No

**California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



III. EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS.

Expand on all “YES” and “MAYBE” answers given to the preceding questions in regard to environmental impacts. The evaluation shall consider whether the environmental impact indicated will have a substantial, adverse change in any of the physical conditions within the area affected by the activity. In addition, the evaluation should discuss environmental effects in proportion to their severity and probability of occurrence. (Use additional pages if necessary.)

**3. Water b.** Will the proposal result in changes in absorption rates, drainage patterns, or the rate and amount of surface water runoff?

Answer: Maybe

**The implementation of this proposal may include the installation of treatment devices on stormdrains, which could result in a decrease in stormwater velocity.**

**3. Water. e.** Will the proposal result in discharge into surface waters, or in any alteration of surface water quality, including but not limited to temperature, dissolved oxygen, or turbidity?

Answer: Yes

**Progressive trash reductions will improve water quality of the River significantly.**

**4. Plant Life. a.** Will the proposal result in a change in the diversity of species, or number of any species of plants (including trees, shrubs, grass, crops, microflora and aquatic plants)?

Answer: Maybe

**A gradual reduction in the amount of floatable and settleable litter is likely to result in an increase in numbers and diversity of plant species as the water quality improves.**

**5. Animal Life. c.** Will the proposal result in introduction of new species of animals into an area, or result in a barrier to the migration or movement of animals?

Answer: Maybe

**The implementation of this proposal is expected to result in a increase in benthic species and fish habitat, making the River environment a more hospitable habitat for threatened species.**

**14. Public Service.** Will the proposal have an effect upon, or result in a need for new or altered governmental services in any of the following areas:

***California Environmental Protection Agency***

***\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\****



*Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California’s water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.*

- d. Parks or other recreational facilities?
- e. Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?
- f. Other governmental services?

Answer: Maybe.

**It is not anticipated that the proposal will directly result in new or altered governmental services in these areas. The affected local agencies are already expected to enforce their own litter ordinances. The proposal includes that the affected agencies will have several years to identify and plan for implementation activities, and that in that time they can explore and select any necessary funding options, including loans, grants, and revenue increases.**

**16. Utilities and Service Systems. e.** Will the proposal result in a need for new systems, or substantial alterations to Storm water drainage.

Answer: Maybe

**The implementation of this proposal may include installation of treatment devices that could alter the stormdrain system.**

**19. Recreation. a.** Will the proposal result in impact upon the quality or quantity of existing recreational opportunities?

Answer: Yes

**Ballona Creek will become a more valuable natural resource, likely resulting in increased recreation enjoyment and use by the public.**

**The environmental impacts listed above are all “positive” impacts. This project will enhance beneficial uses of the Ballona Creek and its tributaries.**

***California Environmental Protection Agency***

***\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\****



Recycled Paper

*Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.*

#### IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

X I find the proposed Basin Plan amendment could not have a significant effect on the environment.

\_ I find that the proposed Basin Plan amendment could have a significant adverse effect on the environment. However, there are feasible alternatives and/or feasible mitigation measures that would substantially lessen any significant adverse impact. These alternatives are discussed in the attached written report.

\_ I find the proposed Basin Plan amendment may have a significant effect on the environment. There are no feasible alternatives and/or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen any significant adverse impacts. See the attached written report for a discussion of this determination.

DATE: March 9, 2001

[Original Signed By]

---

Dennis A. Dickerson  
Executive Officer

#### **California Environmental Protection Agency**

\*\*\*The energy challenge facing California is real. Every Californian needs to take immediate action to reduce energy consumption\*\*\*  
\*\*\*For a list of simple ways to reduce demand and cut your energy costs, see the tips at: <http://www.swrcb.ca.gov/news/echallenge.html>\*\*\*



Recycled Paper

Our mission is to preserve and enhance the quality of California's water resources for the benefit of present and future generations.